IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 16TH OCTOBER 2007 / 24TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 29974 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

E.S.SAJEEVAN, EARALY HOUSE, P.O.KODALY,
THRISSUR DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.RAJESH
SRI.M.SHAJU PURUSHOTHAMAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE CHAIRMAN, K.S.H.B. SANTHI NAGAR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CHIEF REVENUE OFFICER,
K.S.H.B., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE KERALA STATE HOUSING BOARD,
BRANCH OFFICE, IRINJALAKUDA.

4. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
K.S.H.B., AYYANTHOLE, THRISSUR.

BY SRI.P.M.RAMACHANRAN NAIR, SC, KSHB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 29974 OF 2007 R
==========

Dated this the 16th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The request made by the petitioner is for consideration of Ext.P4 application submitted by him.

2. Though the prayer is for extending the benefit of one time settlement scheme, learned counsel for the respondents on instruction submits that at the time when Ext.P4 representation was made by the petitioner on 31/7/07, there was no such scheme in vogue. Therefore, according to the counsel, there is no question of extending the benefit of one time settlement scheme to the petitioner.

3. Be that as it may, now that the petitioner has made Ext.P4, I direct that the same shall be considered by the 1st respondent Board. This shall be done within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. I make it clear that I have not pronounced on the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp